

— Turn out at the polls today —

when in a
Roman circus

McGILL DAILY

do as the
Romans do

VOL. 57 — No. 89

MONTREAL, WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 1968

3 CENTS

Tripartite: Board should desist

by ELLY ALBOIM

The Tri-Partite Commission on the Nature of the University has unanimously requested that the Board of Governors halt its proposed investigation into Students' Society financing and allow the commission to handle it in its normal course of business.

The announcement comes hard on the heels of Monday's Board decision to establish a committee to "review the present system of collection and distribution of funds" of the Students'

Society. The Students' Council reacted strongly to that decision and immediately fired off a letter to the Board of Governors asking them to cease and desist.

Yesterday, after two and a half hours of debate, the Tri-Partite Commission drafted a letter to the Board of Governors, the text of which is as follows: "It is the intention of the Tri-Partite Commission to report on the financial relations between the University and the Students' Society as a natural part of its work. We

therefore request the Board of Governors to make no decisions on this question until Commission has been given a reasonable length of time to report upon it".

An original letter with stronger wording had been drafted by members of the Commission but, in the desire to achieve unanimity, Vice-Principal Michael Oliver and Student rep David Ticoll presented the above text as an amendment.

The Commission has decided to hold an all-day session on March 9

to discuss the issue and it has called for briefs from interested parties dealing with the financial question.

However, the Commission has decided to keep the hearing closed. But Ticoll told the Daily yesterday that he would suggest strongly that these particular hearings be opened.

The next regular meeting of the Tri-Partite Commission will be held next Tuesday at 2 pm in Room 609 of the Administration Building. This will be a public hearing to discuss briefs submitted to the Commission to date.

Judiciaries reject referendum delay

by RITA SHERMAN

The Judicial Committee last night decided to reject a petition challenging "the validity and impartiality" of today's referendum on campus recruiting, and calling for an injunction to delay it.

The Committee refrained from giving an explanation of its unanimous decision last night, but will issue a written statement today.

The petition, submitted by Kirwan Cox, BA 3, and Zav Levinson, BA 4, requested the Committee "to determine whether the Students' Council exceeded its jurisdiction" in changing the date of the referendum from March 8 to today.

An open meeting of the Students' Society last week specified that the referendum be held March 8, but Council decided Monday night to change the date to today.

The petitioners protested the change because Students' Council had overturned the results of an open meeting, because "the holding of the referendum on the same day as the Students' Society Executive elections would be irreparably prejudicial to the results of the referendum because it would prevent debate" already scheduled; and because only one day's notice had been given of the change.

Internal Vice-President Danny Trevick, when asked by the bench for Students' Council's rationale for the change, said Council had felt today would be more convenient, because of the executive elections, and that the turn-out would be larger than it would be next week.

John Hamilton, Arts and Science Representative to Council, added that Council considered the fact that the Daily stops regular publication March 1, and that the later date is so close to exams that the turn-out might not even reach the 20% necessary for a referendum to have any weight.

Most of the arguments presented before the Judicial Committee were related to Council's position in relation to the Students' Society. Counsel for the petitioners, David Cayne, maintained that "Students' Council is essentially an administrative body. Once this concept of student government is accepted Students' Council only has the authority specifically given to it by the constitution".

"Where the constitution is silent, power is vested in the Students' Society, and not in Students' Council."

He said the open meeting had called the referendum "because the question of campus recruitment is so important that the University representatives are not competent to decide; and therefore we must go back to the grass roots".

Feb 28 1968 (Continued on page 9)

Senate rejects proposal to open library committee

by AARON RYND

Senate has rejected the decision of the University Libraries Committee to hold open meetings and make its minutes and agenda generally available.

In a memo to Committee Chairman Dean S. B. Frost, concerning the Committee's decision of January 17, Senate said the question of access to minutes, agenda and meetings "should be studied as it applied to all Committees of Senate and a total policy developed".

The Committee, which has three student representatives, was asked by Senate "to defer implementation of its resolution until Senate has had the opportunity of considering a report on this subject which was being prepared by the Committee on

the communication of information."

The function of the Libraries Committee is to oversee and formulate policy on all matters relating to the provision and administration of libraries in the university.

Recommendations

Meanwhile, the Chairman of the Committee on the Communication of Information, Professor T. H. Barton, said his committee was not contemplating a report of the kind suggested by Senate. "We're planning to make recommendations about representation," he said, "but we are not planning to say anything about open meetings as such."

The open-meeting policy was to have been experimental and was planned only for the term. The report of the Communication Committee will be presented in April after most of the term has ended.

The Libraries Committee had planned to distribute minutes of meetings and agenda indefinitely. Both of these resolutions will remain in limbo now until Senate has set a general policy for the University.

Senate's action has cast general doubt on the power of its committees.

Professor D. G. Bates of the Libraries Committee said, "I left the meeting of January 17 under the impression that the agenda

would be distributed and made generally available, that the minutes would be distributed and that anyone could attend meetings upon application to the chairman. I came back to the meeting on February 26 and found this was not the case.

"I sincerely hope that the Senate will take into account the motion which the Committee has passed. It would be very disappointing if the Committee's expressed willingness to make its meetings more accessible was permanently thwarted by the Senate."

Advantage to reconsider

Chris Tsoukas, Officer of University Relations, said the Committee had made its decision "in order to alleviate the communications gap within the library system; not to set general policy for the University." He added, "it might be to everyone's advantage for the Senate to reconsider its position."

Remarking that Senate had laid the matter in the hands of a Committee which in turn had disclaimed all intention of considering it, he said, "Senate is implying that these things are being discussed; but it is obvious they are not. I have never seen such good will as in that meeting of the University Libraries Committee. Senate would seem to be indicating that it does not want this question resolved.

inside the paper

● Candidates speak in Ballroom —p. 3

● Move to fire Sir George editor fails —p. 3

● Interview with presidential candidates —p. 6-7

● Candidates pensketches —p. 9-10-11

● Oy, do we get letters —p. 4-5

today

SAVOY SOCIETY: Principals and understudies, Union 307, 6:30 pm.

RED MEN BAND: Flagbrarer training and tryouts, RVC Gym, 7 pm.

CYCOM: General meeting, films, speaker, Eng. 204, 1 pm.

MUSIC SOCIETY: Session of 20th century composers on record, Union 307, 12 pm.

PRE-MED SOCIETY: This week's series, for the sanguinophilias: "Tumal abdominal Hysterectomy" plus, "Pancreatic Secretions." S.A., 1 pm.

WOMEN'S RIFLE CLUB: Currie Gym, 7 pm.

STUDENT PHYSICS SOCIETY: Feynman movie cancelled, CAP lecture instead, L 219, 1 pm.

SANDWICH THEATRE: Lenny Bruce is alive and well and hiding in Montreal — Lenny Bruce sketches, uncensored, Union Theatre, 1 pm.

NEWMAN CENTRE: Special masses for Ash Wednesday, 3484 Peel, 1:05 pm and 5:15 pm. Discussion "The Nature and Purpose of a University," 3484 Peel, 8 pm.

NEW PENELOPE

378 Sherbrooke W.
844-6773

This week, from
California:

THE PEANUT BUTTER CONSPIRACY

Tickets available for Ian and Sylvia (March 11 - 16) at Bertrand's Records, Place Ville Marie, or at the New Penelope.

HOW YOU CAN FEEL FIT AT ANY AGE



- Learn what your physical condition is — right now
- New discoveries show you how to improve your condition and stay in good shape
- See how you can be more vital, alert and efficient

The March issue of Reader's Digest features a revolutionary new program which enables you to assess your present physical condition, shows you how to improve it and to stay in fit condition. By following this simple plan, you will become more vital, alert and efficient. Get your copy of March Reader's Digest today while copies are still available — the same issue features the timely article, "The Miracle of Transplants"

HILLEL & SZO: Conversational Hebrew classes 3460 Stanley, 8:15 pm.

HILLEL: Peter Lust of the Canadian Jewish Review, "The Rise of Neo-Nazi Germany," 3460 Stanley, 1 pm. Film series, "Border Street" and "Mellah", L 219, 8:30 pm. Exhibition of original Stanley Lewis stone cut prints, 3460 Stanley, all day. Readings from the Immortal Lenny Bruce with V.R., 1 pm.

REDPATH MUSEUM: "Tidewater Trails," film-lecture, \$1.50 adults, 75c students, L 132, 8:15 pm.

GEOGRAPHICAL SOCIETY: Stan Ilon presents films on Trinidad at Carnival time, PSC 349, 1 pm.

SOCIOLOGY AND ANTHROPOLOGY SOCIETY: University Study, Union B24, 845-4746.

FLYING CLUB: Two movies or speaker about flying, E304, 1 pm.

UKRAINIAN SOCIETY: Guest lecturer: Roman Olinuk-Rakhmanny from CBC on "The Question of Two Cultures." Refresh-

ments, records, Union Lounge 327, 7:30-10 pm.

ASSOCIATION TO END THE WAR IN VIET NAM: Debate on recruitment referendum, Union 457-458, 1:30 pm.

CHEERLEADERETTES: Practice, RVC Gym, 6-7 pm.

ANGELIC CHAPLAINCY: Holy Eucharist, 1:10 pm and 5:30 pm. Compline, 11:10 pm, 3555 University.

CAMERA CLUB: Photo Exhibition, Union 123-124.

CHEMICAL INSTITUTE OF CANADA: Dr. L.S. Butler will discuss his research, OM10, 1 pm.

HELLENIC CLUB: Film showing, "The Immortal Land," Refreshments, Union 123-124, 7:30 pm.

CUSO: Organization of programs on campus, B 27, 1 pm.

BLOOD DRIVE '68: Executive meeting, Union 412, 1 pm.

LIBERAL CLUB: Constitutional Committee, B 26, 5 pm.

CERCLE FRANCAIS: Réunion hebdomadaire, Union 327, 1 pm.

HAPPENINGS AT HILLEL

Wed. Feb. 28 1 pm At Hillel House

PETER LUST

of the Canadian Jewish Chronicle Review
will speak on

THE RISE OF NEO-NAZISM IN GERMANY

Everybody welcome

Monday, March 4 1 pm in L 219

FINE ARTS SOCIETY OF MCGILL PRESENTS

STANLEY LEWIS

who will give an illustrated lecture on

"THE BIRTH OF AN INDIGENOUS MEDIUM"

Mr. Lewis' work will be exhibited at Hillel House, 3460 Stanley St. from Feb. 28th through March 6th: Exhibition of multicolor stone-cut prints.

Wed. Feb. 28, Tues. March 5 1 pm
in the Union Theatre

HILLEL DRAMA PRESENTS

READINGS FROM LENNY BRUCE

February 28 8:30 pm L 219

Feature: "BORDER STREET" A film by the Polish Government film organization. Dramatization of the Warsaw Ghetto uprising. Polish dialogue. English titles.
Short: "MELLAH" A dramatized documentary of Jewish life in Casablanca. Winner of Council on Jewish Audio Visual Materials annual award.

Admission at the door. — Members 75¢ — Non-members \$1

A.S.U.S. ELECTIONS

Arts and Science students may vote in the A.S.U.S. elections today at any one of the following polls:

Arts Building
Leacock Building
University Centre
Stewart Biological Sciences Bldg.
Physical Sciences Centre

Please note that there will be no residence polls in the A.S.U.S. elections. Students in residence who wish to vote must do so at one of the five polls listed above.

Robert Buchanan
Chief Returning Officer

Students' Society Elections

February 28th., 1968

Members of the Students' Society may vote according to the following schedule:

Polls open from 9 am — 4 pm

1. Arts Building:

Arts and Science
Education

2. Leacock:

Arts and Science
Commerce
Education

3. Physical Sciences Centre:

Arts and Science

4. Stewart Biology:

Arts and Science

5. McConnell Engineering:

Architecture
(Architects' Lounge)
Engineering
(Main Lobby)

6. University Centre:

Arts and Science
Engineering

7. McIntyre:

Medicine
P. & O.T.

8. Music Faculty:

Music Faculty
3476 Redpath
McTavish St.

OTHER POLLS:

9. Strathcona —

9 a.m. — 5 p.m.
Dentistry
Medicine
P. & O.T.

10. Wilson Hall —

9 a.m. — 5:30 p.m.
Divinity
Nursing
Social Work

11. Residences —

12 noon — 2 p.m.
Bishop Mountain:
Arts and Science
Engineering
Royal Victoria
College:
Arts and Science
Music

12. Chancellor Day Hall —

1 p.m. — 5 p.m.
Law

13. Montreal General Hospital —

12 noon — 4 p.m.
(Dental Lab.)
Dentistry
Medicine

* GRADUATES MAY VOTE AT ANY POLL

* Members must produce their identity cards in order to vote

Laiq Hanafi, Chief Returning Officer

Solidarity rally turns into riot

A reported 2,500 people, among them some 250 students, clashed with police in the Town of Mount Royal yesterday when a demonstration against the Seven-Up plant in the municipality erupted into widespread violence.

The climax of the confrontation, which was organized by 12 political groups and labor unions, came with the discovery of a time-bomb, believed to have been placed by the Front de Liberation du Quebec (FLQ).

The bomb, planted in a mail box on the corner of Simcoe St. and Graham Blvd. was dismantled at 11:30 last night.

The demonstrators assembled in front of the Seven-Up plant on Graham Blvd. to demonstrate solidarity with 106 employees who have been on strike for eight months.

Among the dozen groups which united to demonstrate last night, was UGEQ. One UGEQ official estimated that some 250 to 300 of the demonstrators were students from member universities. McGill was not represented by any identifiable group.

Demonstrators turned their ire on the community in general when they turned away from the Seven-Up plant, and marched the sixteen blocks to City Hall.

They smashed the windows of several firms, damaged several police cars, threw firebombs at some radio station cruisers.

Five persons were reported arrested, while the injuries were described by one policeman as being "several dozen". No cases of serious injury were reported.

The demonstrators chanted "Le Quebec Aux Travailleurs", "RE-VO-LU-TION", "En Bas les Scabs" and "Vive le Quebec Libre".

They were led by a sound truck, carrying two fleur-de-lis banners and a large red flag.

In front of the Seven-Up plant, fire bombs exploding against the side of the building punctuated a speaker denouncing the company's "exploitation".

In front of City Hall, the demonstrators met a phalanx of TMR police reinforced by some 150 riot-trained Quebec Provincial Police.

The demonstration was the first of its kind in that it brought together several divergent elements into co-operation. The RIN, the Mouvement Souverainete-Association, the Confederation of National Trade Unions, the Federation de Travail de Quebec, were among the participants.

The root of all this, one of the most sordid strikes in the province since the Asbestos strike, is the Seven-Up company's refusal to bargain with a union which was recognized by the Labor Code of the Province.

The company has managed to operate over the past eight months by using scab labor.

Baby-kissing, hand-shaking in ballroom

Candidates debate student problems

by GARETH SPARHAM

Candidates for the presidential and vice-presidential positions being contested in today's Students' Society elections spoke yesterday in the Union Ballroom, amid a turbulent atmosphere generated by the decision of the Administration to reconsider the collection and distribution of Students' Society fees.

Nearly all the candidates spoke for some time about this issue and felt that a firm line must be taken to ensure the continued autonomy of the Students' Society.

Of the candidates running for president, one, Charlie Yates, whose platform is one of complete campus apathy, did not show up, and another, Harvey Mayne, was absent because he has withdrawn his candidacy in favor of Robert Hjalay.

Mayne's name, however is on the ballot sheets and his pen sketch appears in today's Daily

because he withdrew his application at too late a date. He has publicly asked that no one vote for him.

Bob Hjalay said the other important issues were University government, control of the Daily and a strong executive team instead of an executive weakened by differences of opinion. He is running with Foster and Hlyman on an activist slate.

Richard Burkart said that expansion of the Union, housing and education were basic issues. He also said he favors staying in

UGEQ with stronger English-speaking representation.

Speaking first for the post of internal vice-president, Mendel Kramer expressed again his deep-seated desire to have a room in the Union and be known as the "King of the Union".

One of the major planks in Kramer's platform is the need for legislation to ensure that all rise when he enters the Union cafeteria to eat.

Peter Foster said that extension of the Union, lower bookstore prices, and housing were the major issues.

The third candidate for internal vice-president, Robert Cooper, laid particular stress upon the collision course of the students and administration, and urged greater student action through Council.

The candidates for external vice-president, Ian Hlyman, Gerald Solomon and Lorne Young all saw UGEQ, recruiting and student-administration relations as the primary issues.

Hlyman and Young both opted for retaining ties with UGEQ but stressed that McGill must be more forcefully represented. Solomon wants to pull out of UGEQ because it makes political decisions which the individual should be able to make for himself.

Consulate protesters now up for trial

The trials of 47 students arrested following clashes between police and demonstrators outside the US Consulate last November 17 opened in Municipal Court yesterday.

McGill student Allen Gottheil appeared to answer the charge of "grievous assault," while the other 46, ten of whom are from McGill, have been accused of "illegal assembly."

The demonstrators all pleaded not guilty to the charges. The differentiation in Gottheil's case stems from an alleged attack on a City of Montreal policeman. Constable Yvon Bourduas identified Gottheil yesterday as the one who had hit him across the face with a placard stick, causing a wound that sent the policeman to hospital.

Judge Hermann Primeau indicated, however, that the cases of those charged with illegal assembly would be cleared up before Gottheil's assault case would be heard.

The defendants' attorney, Jean-

Guy Bollard, argued that the outbreaks resulted from the appearance of police on horseback, while Chief Inspector Gerard Côté testified that the arrests had been made only after police had invited the crowd to disperse.

The trials will continue tomorrow at 10 am.

THE END IS IN SIGHT

This Friday, March 1, marks the last regular date of publication of this year's Daily. There will be two special issues, on March 8 and March 15. TODAY column items for March 1-7 must be entered by 3 pm tomorrow. For March 8-14, deadline is 3 pm Wednesday, March 6. For March 15 — ad infinitum deadline is 3 pm, Wednesday, March 13.

georgian's Brayton unbeaten in fiery open meeting

by GEORGETTE JASEN

An open meeting of the Students' Association of Sir George Williams University yesterday soundly defeated a motion to fire georgian Editor-in-Chief Frank Brayton. The motion, which would have required a two-thirds majority to pass, got only 226 affirmative votes, to 295 ~~against~~.

The meeting was called by Students' Association President Jeff Chipman after receiving a petition with over 200 names asking the Students' Association Council to take action against the georgian.

Last week the georgian printed a front page editorial accusing Chipman of misusing Students' Association funds. The editorial revealed that he had not accounted for expenditures of over \$300. Brayton said yesterday that "this issue cannot be divorced" from the attempt to fire him as editor. He called the attempt an election tactic to try to discredit his campaign for Arts president in this week's elections.

After the open meeting, Gary Van Gelder, Chairman of the Publications Board, handed in his resignation, saying that as he cannot agree with Brayton's position as editor and as the students saw fit to keep him in office, he felt obliged to

resign. He said, "I think it is sad that journalism has to be used as a means to persuade people rather than inform them."

Before calling the meeting to order, Chipman read a statement to the assembly in which he said, "During the past week I have seen some of the most deceitful and disgusting political tactics that I have seen during my eleven years in student government." He said that he is "ashamed to be president of an association which has spawned such intolerable behavior on the part of a few ambitious and ruthless immature individuals who will stop at nothing to achieve their own selfish objectives."

Chipman said that although the petition didn't call for an open meeting, he felt that it was logical to call an open meeting to let the students who have been complaining about the georgian all along decide if they want to keep the georgian. He said that if these students didn't show up at the meeting they would have to live with the paper.

David Bowman, next year's editor-in-chief, said that he plans to continue in the same direction of this year. He called the vote yesterday "indicative of the changing attitude of this university. People are more willing to accept new ideas regardless of whether they agree or disagree."



FEBRUARY 28, 1968

The McGill Daily is published five times a week by the Students' Society of McGill University at 3480 McTavish Street, Telephone 875-5510. Authorized as second class mail by the Post Office Department, Ottawa, and for payment of postage in cash Postage paid at Montreal. Editorial opinions expressed are those of the Managing Board and not the official opinion of the Students' Council.

Printed at 8430 Casgrain St.
Metropolitan Rota Offset Press Corporation 109

MANAGING BOARD

Peter Allmull Editor-in-Chief
Marc Raboy Managing Editor
Elly Alboim Business Manager
Pierre Fournier Supplement Editor

DEPARTMENT HEADS

J. David Garmaise (News Editor); Leslie Waxman (Associate News Editor); Ellen Roseman (News-features Editor); Vivian Wiseman (Associate Supplement Editor); Clara Mian (Copy Editor); Dave Carin (Sports Editor); Mike Bandler (Photography Editor); Danny Levinson, Don Macpherson (Senior Staff Writers); JoAnne Davidson (Archives Librarian); Van Roberts (Advertising Manager).

we reiterate: happy birthday arlene, by/for/of the people shall not perish, appropriately enough, this marks the end to a beautiful sonnet. To the eggman, leslie, georgie, john (a few), jon (a few more), sue of the gazette, chris, jule, ginny, louise, old helen, ira, sarah-lee, perry meyer, jackie, the twenty-eight, meredy, mother, applepie, david (s), willa, diana, sick marc, milkshake gary, uncle nick, aunt lee, homer price, bob, bob (s), philterrystevesir, gy, allthecandidates, Q,
(continued on page 9)

On bilingualism in UGEQ

On Sunday the UGEQ Congress voted not to consider an amendment to its constitution making English a working language of the union.

At first sight this is a peremptory rejection of the "English fact" in the Quebec student union, a denial to anglophones of their right to express themselves in the medium of their own culture. But neither the events surrounding Sunday's vote nor the principles underlying it are so simple, and they deserve careful reflection.

From one important perspective, the issue is not whether UGEQ will be bilingual but whether the English in Quebec will be. It seems reasonable to assume that anglophones who seriously wish to participate in Quebec society would take the trouble, and should create the opportunity in their schools, to learn to communicate with the vast majority of this province in its mother tongue.

Because so few English in Quebec are as bilingual as the French seem to be, we

tend to assume that almost all francophones understand English. But, as those who have worked in the UGEQ structures are well aware, many Québécois have not, for one reason or another, been able to pick up English to that extent. At the moment there are more unilingual French in Quebec than unilingual English.

So who is it fairer to make difficulties for — the majority or the minority?

The issue of bilingualism in UGEQ will be solved when anglophones in Quebec improve their now generally abominable familiarity with the French language to the extent that they do not need bilingualism in the Union; for then the majority will not be afraid that "bilingualism" is a sham term for anglophones and francophones both speaking English.

The tactics of those who are now asking, in McGill's name, for the adoption of bilingualism in UGEQ are superbly designed to defeat their ostensible purpose.

At one point in our participation, McGill students, when working informally with

others in the Union, found it easy to adopt, when speed or efficiency demanded, the rational form of bilingualism where each speaks his own language and understands the other. (Not that this helped in improving French speaking ability.) This was possible after confidence had been established of the willingness to speak French whenever necessary. This could have spread to informal committees and more formal structures.

Not overnight, by any means; experience with the French-English question has led many French to become, as one perceptive and open-minded Laval student put it, "profondément traumatisés" on the subject. This is simply a fact which should be recognized by those who wish to move constructively.

Not by some of McGill's delegates, however. Without warning to the Congress, let alone the stipulated 30-days notice, they asked for UGEQ's constitution to be amended in one stroke; and reacted with professed disappointment when the French could not unilaterally erase years of misunderstanding all at once.

How must it have impressed the delegates that the man whom Students' Council mandated to negotiate bilingualism could not present the motion because he could not speak or understand French?

What is most dismaying, with respect to future understanding within UGEQ on the language question, is the evident lack of any serious effort on the part of many anglophone delegates to grasp and deal with the really substantive problems of Quebec's educational system and of Quebec society, in other words to really "join UGEQ". Only then will we be listened to, and in this perspective, language will be seen as a secondary problem.

Letters

To the Principal

Dr. H. Rocke Robertson,
Principal and Vice Chancellor
McGill University,
Montreal 2

Sir,

On Monday, March 4, 1968 the Association to End the War in Vietnam is holding a forum on the university and Vietnam, with particular emphasis on the implications of indiscriminate campus recruiting. On other occasions we have heard from and hope to hear again from Dr. T.J.F. Pavlasck, Chairman of the University Placement Committee. However, we feel it would be opportune at this time, bearing in mind that there will be a student referendum on the issue of campus recruiting, to hear more than one speaker defend the current official policy. The official policy was ratified by the Academic Senate, and should have been considered by that body in terms of its long-term consequences in regard to the nature of the university. We request that a spokesman for the Senate or the Administration defend the decision that the Senate and Administration have taken. There has not yet been complete open discussion of this issue, and the Administration would be derelict if at this time

it did not more fully explain and justify its actions in a forum.

The most effective way of contacting me is by mail at the above address. Or, since a copy of this letter is being sent to the McGill Daily, you could reply through it.

Bartholomew J. Crago
Acting-Chairman, Committee to End the War in Viet Nam
The Administration has agreed to send Dr. Robert N. Morrison, Professor of Business Administration, to explain its position at the open forum on recruiting Monday afternoon in the ballroom. — ed.)

More pleasant

Sir,

How much more pleasant it is to answer one individual than fifty.

Professor Jeremy Walker is more lucid (perhaps for my benefit) than the manifesto was, but this only makes his position that much more vulnerable. For one thing, he avoids any mention of the politics on which the manifesto was based, namely those references to "the Canadian Establishment" and the nasty "status quo". One would need to be very simple-minded to believe that the Placement Service issue is really the whole problem here. The program of radical movements — as described in a reprint in the Free Press recently — is to seek just such issues of provocation as a means of advancing the underlying political philosophy. It

was quite clear to me from the manifesto that this was based on such a philosophy.

Now, for Professor Walker's letter. He writes that "there is here a conflict between what the law permits and what the moral sense prohibits." I submit to him, on his own philosophical ground, that this statement is an absurdity. How can there be a conflict between the moral sense and what the law permits? If there is no law in the matter, then the law has nothing to say whether you do it or not do it (whatever it may be). You have a moral choice.

Professor Walker writes further: "If the University permits open recruiting, it implicitly denies that such recruiting is wrong or evil." This time, we note, to permit is actually to sanction one side of an alternative. I do not follow.

But clearly, the one consistency in Professor Walker's argument is that he would like to see such moral choices reduced to legal prescription. I had always assumed that it was a higher condition for man when his moral choices are left to his own decision. This is just the issue about the Placement Service, whether one approves or disapproves of selling munitions for Viet Nam.

Louis Dudek

A

Sir,

I am writing this letter to voice my disagreement with the opinions expressed in Louis

Dudek's letter of Feb. 26. I am, however, signing it individually, since I do not wish to compromise myself, although many of my friends agree with me.

Gordon Garmaise, BA3

Willingness

Sir,

I am writing this letter to voice my disagreement with the opinions expressed in Louis Dudek's letter of Feb. 26. I am, however, signing it individually, since I do not wish to compromise myself, although many of my friends agree with me.

Irving Schonfeld, BA3

to

Sir,

I am writing this letter to voice my disagreement with the opinions expressed in Louis Dudek's letter of Feb. 26. I am, however, signing it individually, since I do not wish to compromise myself, although many of my friends agree with me.

Peter Silyomovics, BA3

Submit

Sir,

I am writing this letter to voice my disagreement with the opinions expressed in Louis Dudek's letter of Feb. 26. I am, however, signing it individually since I do not wish to compromise myself, although many of my friends agree with me.

Chris Maynard, BA3

Individual

Sir,

I am writing this letter to voice my disagreement with the opinions expressed in Louis Dudek's letter of Feb. 26. I am, however, signing it individually since I do not wish to compromise myself, although many of my friends agree with me.

Sheldon Schreter, BA3

Judgment

Sir,

I am writing this letter to voice my disagreement with the opinions expressed in Louis Dudek's letter of Feb. 26. I am, however, signing it individually since I do not wish to compromise myself, although many of my friends agree with me.

Julius Grey, BA3

Fekete follower

Sir:

I have been following the articles in your paper with regards to the proceedings against John Fekete. In a recent issue, it was mentioned that Dr. Robertson had drawn the committee's attention to an article written by John Fekete in the Feb. 15 issue of Flux.

I only read the article last night, and personally, I do not see anything wrong with it as you can read that sort of thing in any number of magazines and small weekly newspapers in Montreal. To me it was merely a humorous article and should be treated as such.

(Continued on page 5)

(Continued from page 4)
Why such a stink is being raised about it, I don't know. If it had been anything like what he wrote last November, then there would be some cause for complaint, however, it was not. I do believe, as I said, that it should only be treated as a humorous article and left at that.

Any comments would be appreciated.

Gerald E. Hill

We would too

Sir,

We would like to know the reasoning behind the McGill Bookstore's discount policy. Presently, McGill staff members can buy books at a 10% discount. Students must pay the full price.

Alauddin Ahmad, PhD 4
Martin D. Alpert PhD 2

Behind every beaver lies...

Sir,

As a sequel to Leon Gold's excursion into the never-never land of the John Birch Society a little while back, some of your readers may be interested to know that the July-August issue of *American Opinion* contained an article about Canada.

Entitled "Canada: Our Neighbor Moves Left", the article purports to show that "revolutionary policies with the most far-reaching consequences are being fostered in Canada with very little notice by the Press here in the United States." This goes beyond such peripheral things as the Quebec separatist movement, "tied to a Marxist scheme of National Liberation Fronts." It has reached the highest circles of the Government, "the darling of Ho Chi Minh and the American Vietnams", right up to that "skilled dialectician", Lester Pearson himself.

The question "Is Lester Pearson still a Communist?" may never have occurred to you (*American Opinion's* answer is that "one would hardly be so foolish as to say so — in Canada"). But then perhaps you didn't know that "there is absolutely no question that Pearson was seriously involved with the Communists from the time he was a young man." And of course he has surrounded himself with Communist advisers — Gérard Pelletier, who "has made a career of associating himself with Communists and Communist activities," Jean Marchand, "a director of the Canadian Peace Research Institute, a notorious Communist front," and that "Marxist Professor" and "staunch supporter of Fidel Castro," Pierre-Elliott Trudeau, who "faithfully echoes the Soviet textbooks" in his concept of law (there is also a reference to M. Trudeau's having tried to paddle to Cuba in a canoe; anyone who knows anything about that particular incident is invited to write to me c/o the Daily).

The article also discusses some of the Communist policies Mr. Pearson has carried out.

These include the CYC of course — even the *Montreal Gazette* has recognized that as a Communist plot — but also the "nefarious" B & B Commission, which Mr. Pearson staffed with such people as André Laurendeau, who "has long supported Communist causes," Jean-Louis Gagnon, who "was a Soviet agent," and Frank Scott, "who, according to an undercover agent for the Royal Canadian Mounted Police, has participated in nearly every United Front operation which the Communists have created in Canada over the last thirty years." And even the flag, passed in the House of Commons by a "highly disciplined Party vote" for the sole purpose of sowing discord: "There had, prior to Pearson's move, been no real demand from anyone but the Communists for a flag to replace the Red Ensign, which symbolically united the historical background of Canada's two founding cultural groups."

What about foreign policy? *American Opinion* answers that one too: "Canada's foreign policy under Lester Pearson and Paul Martin has been consistently pro-Communist." After all, it notes in italics, "Canada is training Communist Tanzania's Airforce while the Red Chinese are training the Tanzanian ground forces." At the same time, "Prime Minister Harold Wilson of Britain has had no more enthusiastic supporter of his policy to crush anti-Communist Rhodesia than Lester Pearson's government." And we mustn't forget Mr. Pearson's emphasis on U.N. peacekeeping: "The Canadian Prime Minister's concept of peace, you see, is naked military aggression through the U.N. against stable, anti-Communist governments like the one established by Moïse Tshombe in Katanga."

Because of all these policies, "the pressure grows for a merger of the Liberals and the National Democratic Party (sic). As a result, history may show Lester Pearson to have been the Kerensky of the Canadian Revolution." The article concludes worriedly that "with the evidence before us, we have no alternative but to substantially increase our estimate of Communist influence on the Government of our northern neighbor." But my own reaction to the evidence was that if Lester Pearson can get the John Birch Society that scared, then maybe there is some hope for him after all.

Robert Chodas
Baltimore, Md.

Recognize aspirations

Sir,

It is not often that I find myself in agreement with your editorials; but your article on "Lévesque and Trudeau" seems to me remarkable for its perception and levelheadedness.

Whether the independence and the socialization of Quebec are "inevitable" is perhaps still debatable; but there is no doubt that English Canada is utterly wrong if it thinks that it can

combat the separatist movement with frantic efforts to promote the "equality" of French Canadians. Such flashy, grandiose projects as the setting-up of bilingual and bicultural reservations and the institution of a charter of human rights are, to say the least, red herrings, if the object is to offset the Quebec independence movement. As you say, "Canada as a society is breaking down because it lacks a rationale for its existence as a viable entity"; and perhaps we can see current efforts, to aggrandize the generally vestigial idiosyncrasies of minority groups, as the final try of English Canada to find a new rationale for its nominally

independent existence in North America. To point out the pretentiousness and the naïveté of the view that Canada, with its many cultures, ought to be a model microcosm of the world state to come, or to remark that such a conception — involving the freezing of often nothing more than moribund parochialisms — presupposes a homogeneous attitude scarcely distinguishable from that underlying the American "melting-pot", may be unnecessary; but, in any case, the ideology of bilingualism and biculturalism must be irrelevant, if not repugnant, to French Canadian nationalists. Not only does B & B studiously ignore the force of economics — it is not merely due to the goodwill and the tolerance, of French Canadians, that English Montrealers still use their language today, or that McGill has survived — it simply does not come to grips with the emerging impulses of contemporary Quebec and, as such, is merely the product of English Canadian pipe-dreaming, the placebo of the dilettante.

Being an English-speaking Montrealer, I cannot really appreciate the frustrations and the hopes that motivate Mr. Lévesque and his followers. But I feel sure that their voice is not one in the wilderness and that, as the whole character of Quebec changes, and the slick young technocrats take over, there will be nothing but scorn for our ostensibly contrite, intrinsically paternalistic, cultural chitchat. If, as I strongly believe, it is in the best interests of both Quebec and English Canada to be closely allied in certain fields, if it is desirable that some kind of quasi-independent federation should continue above the forty-ninth parallel, then we must begin our discussions with a frank recognition of the aspirations of those that are taking control of this province. Perhaps these people would, in such discussions, find much more in common with English Canadians than they had suspected.

W. J. Fong, BA 2

One-sided propaganda

Sir,

No nation based on tradition and rooted in history needs to vindicate its existence to its own people. A documentary film shown at a function of the Pakistan Students' Club on February 9, 1968 as part of its programme was, however, such an exercise in defence of the founding of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan; wherein the creation of Pakistan was attempted to be justified through hatred towards India and the Hindus. All differences and conflicts that existed or are existing in the Indian Subconti-

immediate impact of the odds their ancestors had to face. After sometime the future generation might fall to confusion...

The only remedy is that the coming generations should be constantly kept informed as to why the Muslims of the sub-continent chose to fight for a separate homeland and what made them a nation separate from the Hindu majority of the Undivided India. The educational and cultural institutions have a great role to play in this regard." (emphasis supplied)

Pakistan Students' Club, it seems, have extended this educational and cultural domain, as conceived by President Ayub to be necessary for the perpe-

Letters, letters, letters...

nent were depicted to arise from the actions of India and her Hindu population alone. To believe in such a one-sided propaganda material will be a complete disregard for the facts of history.

Pakistan came into being as a result of an Act of British Parliament. The then leaders of the Muslim League feared that the Muslims would not be secure in an undivided India and, therefore, they needed a Muslim homeland. However, 55 million Muslims, the third largest segment of Muslim population of the world, continue to live in India enjoying complete freedom and equality of opportunity along with the people of other religious beliefs. Muslim ministers and legislators can be found in various cabinets, State Assemblies, and in central Parliament and a Muslim can even be the chief Justice of the Supreme Court. Last year when Dr. Zahir Hussain, a Muslim, was elected Head of the State in India, Pakistani leaders became alarmed that the younger generation might question the very basis and concept of Pakistan — the so-called Two Nation Theory, founded on perpetual Hindu-Muslim discord.

The Dawn of Karachi, dated March 27, 1967, reports President Ayub Khan imploring the New Generation to know the Genesis of Pakistan:

"In another two or three decades the present generation which fought for the achievement of Pakistan against the evil forces of Brahminism, would be gone, to be succeeded by those who were born after Independence and hence had no personal contact with the factors that necessitated the creation of this state. The vital link could be maintained only if the national institutions continued to impress on young minds the 'real' significance of freedom struggle and depict its background in 'true' perspective..."

With the passage of time the picture of motivating factors is getting out of focus. The generation which is coming of age was born after Independence and having no proximity with the machinations of Brahminism never experienced the

tuation of Pakistan, to McGill Campus. The movie was irrelevant to the audience and was of a bad taste.

Dipak Talapatra, MEng. 2
Shreshtha Kumar, PhD 2
(Member Pakistan Students' Club)

Presidential...

(Continued from page 7)

will determine to a certain extent the type of university education that McGill will be giving in the next ten years.

And then, there's the rationalization of grants. Every year, typically, grants are given by a committee of the Department of Education on what is in essence a completely secretive basis. There are no published norms on the basis of which these grants are distributed. One of the things that McGill should be pushing for in UGEQ, is that grants be allotted according to published norms by a body meeting in open to prevent the pressure politicking that has gone on in the last few years, rationalized norms in terms of the needs of the university.

Burkart: The most popular system of norms seems to be the weighting system, or at least the one in rather extensive use, and under this system, this year, McGill would have received \$45 million, whereas it only received \$22 million. This is what we must fight for.

Hajaly: Why haven't you done anything about it? How many proposals, for example, has the McGill CCN delegation presented on the question of CEGEPs or rationalization of grants or on the question of educational reforms, or on the question of universal accessibility? The fact is that we simply haven't been represented positively to UGEQ. We haven't shown that we have anything positive to contribute to UGEQ. Bilingualism wasn't even put on the order paper because we haven't shown any sense of responsibility. We haven't developed any contacts; haven't proposed any legislation, we haven't shown that we are on top of what is happening in Quebec and then we ask for bilingualism! What kind of arrogance is that?

Presidential hopefuls speak out

The Daily interviewed presidential candidates Richard Burkart and Robert Hajaly on Monday. Candidate Charles Yates declined to participate, commenting "I'm too tired to be interviewed". The fourth candidate, Harvey Mayne, has unofficially withdrawn from the race. Elections are today.

Daily: Let's start with university structures and how you see them changing, and then student participation.

Burkart: Now, what's been suggested, as you know, by the Duff-Berdahl is that the Board of Governors become more representative of the constituency which it serves — the Quebec society, the Canadian and international society. Now this is going to be implemented — this is being implemented. As far as the Senate goes, we're pushing now for more members on the Senate. I would recommend at least five student members on the Senate. Of these people, probably three ex officio — the executive of the Council — and two elected members from the student body. At the Senate sub-committee level, in the last year and a half, we've gone from approximately three or four or five members on these committees to at present 35 members on Senate sub-committees. There are very, very few that we don't have members on. At the departmental level, I would strongly encourage the students in the departments to organize themselves and to make representations to their faculty. I think this is where a large number of decisions are made which change curricula, which introduce new courses, new teaching methods.

Hajaly: Rather than concentrating on the number of representatives we have on Senate and its committees, the thing which concerns me — and would concern me as President — is the effectiveness of the representatives. The main thing is that you've got to change the way in which these committees run if you want to ensure that student representative are more effective. Specifically, the representatives must have access to all the information, all the university information. This is a prerequisite for decision-making. Second of all, the Senate committee representatives for example, right now are completely disengaged from Council. I don't believe that a single instance when I was on Council, that Council specifically legislated programs for our Senate committee reps to present to their committees. The fact is they're operating in a vacuum. They are not representing anyone. Their meetings are closed. We don't know which issues are coming up and we don't know what positions they are taking.

The other question concerning effectiveness is what level of participation do we have at the faculty and departmental level, because that's where course changes, curriculum changes and so on can be made. Right now, the only student-faculty committee in regular operation is an Engineering. And even if there were more student-facul-

ty committees, departmental committees, they wouldn't be effective. They don't have research and secretarial support; they don't have funds, they don't know what decisions are being taken on in Senate, they don't have group discussion skills, or any of the necessary things that a committee would need to effectively deal with the problems that come up, that students have to face. And this is where student government

are to do anything about it, for example, institute something in course design, it would have to make a fairly massive reallocation of financial priorities. And we can only make progress in that area by negotiating with them, and the only people who can negotiate with them are executives who are experienced in the area. Both Hyman and myself have done a lot of work in course design. Now, you say in your platform that you want to make education a priority but you've been in office since October and haven't introduced a single significant piece of legislation on educational reform. So far as I know, you haven't

in the past" said Students' Council was very upset over Administrative sanctions placed on publications media. Traditional autonomy has been infringed on in the past here in both directions. The infringement has gone both ways so I think we have to clarify the situation again.

Daily: How can you infringe on Administration autonomy?

Burkart: I think the point has been confirmed by many people on campus, and I firmly believe it as well, that campus media like the Daily are able to infringe on the rights of other people. Other people are party to what goes on in the

Within this community you can't have everybody pulling apart.

I would favor the incorporation of the Students' Society. In this way, we could incorporate the Daily and get away from a lot of legal problems. People always try to make analogies between the university society and the outside society. They're quite different, and the legal problems are quite different within our society but as far as autonomy goes, we could legally get it by incorporating. **Hajaly:** The question which I think we're essentially avoiding is, are the publishers of the Daily, namely the Students' Society, competent to manage the Daily? The answer, simply, is no. And that's precisely why the Administration has come in. These are two things that have to be done before the Students' Society is able to say: "We are competent to deal with the Daily." The first thing is that the publisher must incorporate.

If the McGill Daily itself incorporates, then there's the danger it will run off on its own, feeling that it's completely legally responsible, which ignores the fact that it is representative of the McGill student community. What's necessary is that the Students' Society incorporate so that before the law it is legally responsible for the actions taken by the Daily.

The second point is that Council has to define its managing function to the Daily, this means specifically it has to define permissible charges which can be brought up against the Daily. It has to define the judicial procedure to deal with these charges and find the type of penalties which can be brought against the Daily in the event of a certain action. And this would avoid wasting, as has happened, four to six weeks trying to decide upon procedures.

Daily: Do you see any role for the Administration, whatsoever, in this?

Hajaly: I think the Administration has the right to intervene so long as the Students' Society hasn't managed to define how it's going to deal with the Daily. If these changes are made, the Administration at that point would have no legal right or responsibility to intervene directly in the affairs of the Daily.

I feel students at the university should be subject to the same laws that people outside the university are subject to. In terms of general behavior, for example, behavior of students incompatible with their status in the university, this is the sort of thing which should not be allowed to go on.

Burkart: The position was made that the McGill community should be similar to the outside community and I strongly disagree with this position.

Hajaly: Well, I meant that the students should be subject to the same laws.

Burkart: I think the people

(Continued on page 7)



David Miller

Two of the candidates for the presidency of the Students' Society were interviewed by the Daily earlier this week. Above are Richard Burkart (left) and Robert Hajaly.

can step in and support faculty initiative by providing these things.

Daily: Educational reform.

Hajaly: There are three points that I brought out of the educational reform issue on my platform and the first is that student government should support students seeking interviews on the faculty and departmental level by offering certain essential services to committees operating in this area; by coordinating the given information and so on. The second point concerns course guides.

Importantly and less often emphasized, course guides provide an objective criterion by which the administration can promote professors for their teaching ability. Student government can help out because course guides are too expensive for individual faculties to put out on a regular basis in competent fashion. They need money from the Students' Society and they also need skilled personnel; for example, computer analysts.

The last point is something I have been intimately involved in — course design. The administration is extremely ignorant of recent changes in educational technology. And if they

consulted for example, various student-faculty committees working in Engineering and Arts right now. You haven't found out what type of problems they're facing.

Burkart: Educational reform is obviously a priority here for Students' Council, and the matters of course guides, teaching methods, counter-courses... these are items which Council must research. I've recently, at the next to last Council meeting as a matter of fact, set up a committee on education; this committee was proposed to a number of students as early as last December. I have been trying to get people for that committee. This group, I expect, will be mandated as the legislation said, to investigate and research areas of education, and present legislation to Council. The committee on education would also serve as a very useful research backup group for the ideas coming out of the Tri-partite Commission.

Daily: What about student-administration relations?

Burkart: The Students' Society has a long record of traditional autonomy. As a matter of fact, an article in the Star the other day entitled "twenty five years

Daily. There are more sectors, more communities in this than the McGill community.

Daily: Now how did the Administration infringe on our traditional autonomy?

Burkart: I don't think they gave us time to act, for one thing. They didn't give Council time to consider the question. They didn't allow us to discuss it frankly, and we presented this position very strongly to the Administration. I, on a number of occasions, with the Executive, made this position very clear to the Administration. I grant you the Administration would be not unreasonable to say that we had not in the past shown the ability to deal with this problem and in other instances in the past this was true.

The students' autonomy is a concept which we must continue to maintain and strengthen if we can. At the same time, you must have the idea of co-operation, a very important concept. And the two go together. The McGill community is a co-ordinated group, we are all members of it and insofar as we are members, we co-operate with the other members. We maintain certain autonomies,

Presidential . . .

(Continued from page 6)

within the McGill community should be subject to different laws. Frankly, I think the case exists now where the members of the university community are very insulated from the laws and activities of the society outside the community, and I think we have to maintain this. Because we have an extremely free society, a very permissive society, permissive in the academic sense, I think we have to maintain this very strongly against society.

Hajaly: It's not a question of encroachment. Any member of the community, by being a member of the society, is automatically subject to the laws of his land.

Burkart: I agree, but I say at

the moment, he is subject to many fewer rules.

Hajaly: First, it is not a question of the university community. Obviously we have a lot of work to do, towards promoting co-operation with the administration, students, faculty. The essential question that we are trying to consider here is who has the ultimate right of intervention, who has the power. Now the concern of the university is with the academic life, or the academic performance of various members. And in this sense the university has every right to intervene, to be interested in the progress. The academic performance of not only the students, but the faculty as well. But on the other hand, it should not have the power of intervention with what students do outside their academic life, with what they do

with their private life, in extra-curricular activities.

But in terms of the ultimate power of intervention, I feel the students should have the power.

Burkart: Do you consider the article of November 3, an infringement of academic freedoms of the McGill Community?

Hajaly: No, I don't consider it an infringement of the academic freedom, I consider it a piece of bad satire.

Daily: Do you foresee an instance where something in the publication of the Daily will infringe on the academic freedoms of the McGill Community?

Burkart: The point is, that if it does infringe, it usually would infringe academically insofar as a great number of people, not students, have to spend a tremendous amount of time and dissipate tremendous energy solving problems which are not related to them particularly; but that re Students' Society problems, in that sense, you infringe upon them.

Daily: Richard, what do you see as McGill's role in UGEQ? What should McGill be doing in UGEQ?

Burkart: I think that McGill should stay within the UGEQ structure and I think it should work much more actively than it has; we have only been in UGEQ for a year, we have concerned ourselves with a number of issues which are fundamental to the English institutions and to McGill in particular, in this organization. One of these is bilingualism. This is a very fundamental problem and though many people have said that this was a secondary issue and that it was ill-timed, and that there is a great fear that we are going to make it an English union, I think we must have our basic right of expression of using our language to make opinions because we can't effectively at the present time, do this.

Another point is the matter of priorities. Their priority is education. In one way, I very greatly support educational re-

search but I'm very concerned that a union which has almost a third of its members English-speaking, has done virtually no research into problems which concern English universities.

Hajaly: There are a number of priorities that McGill has in terms of its work in UGEQ. The first of these is universal accessibility, a point where McGill has been particularly passive. The second thing we should be pushing for, now that UGEQ has decided to definitely orient itself to educational reforms, is seeing precisely what types of educational reforms are happening at the other universities, how applicable they are to McGill and what type of action UGEQ can take with respect to the government. One of the most important problems is the question of the English CEGEPs, the junior colleges being set up in Quebec. In this area you find that the McGill delegation is totally inept. The final forms that the CEGEPs take

(Continued on page 5)

The Student Physics Society

presents

**The annual student lecture of the
Canadian Association of Physicists**

Lecturer:

Dr. D. W. GELDART

of Dalhousie University

speaking on

Quantum Oscillations in Solids

Leacock 219

Wed., Feb. 28, 1-2 pm

**Take a teeny-bopper
to class**

The Pre-University Affairs Student Visiting Programme needs members of the student body to present future university students with an "average day on Campus".

This will entail carrying out your regular daily schedule in the company of a pre-university student.

Everyone is invited to participate. If interested please put your name on the list at the Union switchboard.

Allan Feingold,
Chairman, Student Visiting Programme

Classified

These ads may be placed in our advertising office Room 121, Main Floor University Centre, 9 am to 4 pm. Ads received by noon appear the following day. Rates: 3 consecutive insertions \$1.50; maximum 20 words. 7¢ per extra word.

HOUSING

1½ ROOM APARTMENTS FOR RENT — 15 minutes from campus. 2285 Champlain St. (corner Sherbrooke). New building. Tel.: 721-0470.

3 BEDROOM APTS., large bright rooms, 1½ minutes walk from campus. Available now, April 1 or May 1. Furnished or unfurnished. 935-4747.

FOR SALE

YAMAHA STEEL-STRING GUITAR, exact copy of a Martin. Phone Richard Owen, 937-5413.

CONTINENTAL BED 6' x 4¼", excellent condition. Cheap for quick sale: Miss Campbell, Phone 843-5853.

OLDS AMBASSADOR CLARINET — excellent condition — call Paul, 481-6733 between 7 p.m. — 10 p.m.

ELECTRIC GUITAR, SIX STRING — two pick ups. Two tone and two volume controls. Three way switch. With case. RE. 3-0389.

MCB CONVERTIBLE, 1965. — 18,000 miles, excellent condition, immaculate, mechanically perfect. Fully equipped, including hardtop, wire wheels, etc. Must be seen. 277-4477.

MOTORCYCLES & SKOOTERS, new and used. Prices drastically reduced. Rollex Sales Co. Ltd., 1065 St. Lawrence Blvd. 866-8051.

LOST

ONE DELUXE ENSOM. Economic middle-sized brown man. Answers to Subanni. Generous award. Call Su-banni.

MAN'S RING, SAPPHIRE & DIAMOND in Leacock or Union. Reward. Call Phil: 731-0607, after 5.

REWARD FOR LOCATING skiing equipment lost at Shawbridge: grey duffel bag and poles. Reward \$10 — \$15. Phone 842-0568 — Neil Johnson.

The Plebeians Rehearse**The Uprising**

by GUNTHER GRASS

Department of English — Moyse Hall

February 29 — March 1-2 — 8:30 pm

Admission: \$1.50

Opening night student special: 2 for 1

Box Office: 130 Arts Bldg.

1-6:30 pm

STUDENTS' COUNCIL

APPLICATIONS FOR

CONFERENCE:**"QUEBEC AT THE CROSSROADS"**

LOYOLA COLEGE

MARCH 16, 17

Applications may be picked up at SC Office and must be left at the Union switchboard by

12 noon, Friday March 1, 1968

BOB COOPER
JOEL RABY
co-chairmen
conferences

TYPING

HOME TYPING, 25 cents per page. Theses, term papers, essays, manuscripts, reports, notes, etc. Electric typewriter, 487-1529.

TYPING OF REPORTS, ETC. Call 489-7318 between 6:30 pm and 9:30 pm or 866-1011, ext. 65 between 12:30 pm and 2 pm.

BILINGUAL LEGAL SECRETARY desires essays or term papers to type. Electric typewriter. Student prices. Call 522-8109 after 6 pm

TUTORING

FRENCH, SPANISH, GERMAN, RUSSIAN or other language problems? Call or visit Montreal Language School, 849-5871 — 900 Sherbrooke W. opposite McGill.

RIDES

TWO BRITISH GIRLS WANT LIFT to San Antonio, Texas after March 15. Sharing expenses. One can drive. Phone Sally: 849-0589.

MISCELLANEOUS

LEARN FOLK, FLAMENCO OR BLUES guitar with Richard Owen. Low monthly rates. Phone 937-5413.

ONCE IN FOUR YEARS the giant spectacular SDU blow-out-the Folk Jazz Festival. Thurs. Feb. 29. Ballroom 8 pm. 75¢.

WEATHER GET YOU DOWN? Fly in any weather learn instrument flying and navigation. Free course. Tuesdays 1 pm. E 304.

WILL SOMEBODY OUT THERE please convince B.C.S. that there is no such thing as mauve plaid bagpipes? Happy Birthday anyway?

WANTED: Healthy male and female North American students aged 21-26 to volunteer for a hematological research project. \$15 is offered for each participant. For further details call 842-1251, local 745 on Monday, Tuesday and Friday afternoons between 2 and 3 pm.

HYMIE TANNENBAUM, Barry Crago, Abbie Hoffmann, Bryan Robinson's Jazz Band, Xanadu, Jerry Goodfriend and more — the fantastic Folk Jazz Festival.

GIRLS: LEAP YEAR is here. The 29th is Thursday. We boys want to be taken out. P.B.P.R.

GIRL WANTED TO TRAVEL with through Europe this summer. For details call Adriana. 739-7338, evenings after 6. Leave message.

THE GREATEST FOLD JAZZ FESTIVAL ever brought to McGill. Thursday, Feb. 29, Ballroom, 8 p.m., 75¢.

PATIENCE! "is a transcendental of delirium." Gilbert & Sullivan in Moyse Hall. March 7, 8, 9. Tickets, \$1.75 — Union.

CASTLE COPYING SERVICE students rates 8¢ a copy. Copies look like xerox. 1022 Sherbrooke St. W. No. 6. 849-6493.

M.O.C. SKIS MT. STE ANNE — Bash trip for members only. Cost \$8.50 (subject to change) includes transportation, overnight motel accommodations, tow ticket. Bus leaves McGill 3 pm Sat. March 9th, returns 10 pm Sunday March 10th. Number of seats limited. Tickets at Union Box Office March 4th (M.O.C. Membership Card must be shown). Trip meeting March 4th, 7 pm Union B23. All interested please attend.

CHESS CLUB ELECTIONS will be held March 13 at 1 pm to choose next year's executive.

M.O.C. SUNDAY SKI TRIP — March 3rd, to Mt. Echo. \$5.50 (\$7.00 for non-members) includes transportation, tow ticket, lessons. One bus only leaves Roddick Gates 7:45 am (smirk, chuckle). Tickets at Union box office.

RENT A**TAPE RECORDER**

for Long or Short Periods

681-4165 — 277-8329

Moyse Hall

March 7, 8, 9 — 8:15 pm

PATIENCE

Tickets at the Union \$1.75

and at the door

(Opening night — 2 students for 1)

**niccolini**suits, coats, car coats, rainwear,
at fashion stores everywhere.

TRIPARTITE COMMISSION ON THE NATURE OF THE UNIVERSITY **HELP!**

We wish to engage a SECRETARY AND RESEARCH DIRECTOR whose duties will be :

1. to transcribe a full account of Commission meetings;
2. to conduct and report on research studies at the request of the Commission; and
3. to organize a documentary service.

This will be a part-time office based on remuneration of four hundred dollars (\$400.00) per month pro rated according to time spent in the above duties.

Part-time secretarial service will be available for the Director.

Interviews for applicants will be held at 3520 University Street, on Friday March 8 from 1 to 3 pm. Applicants should send a curriculum vitae before that date to Prof. J. C. McLelland, Faculty of Divinity.

The McGill Literary Society

presents

Dr. Peter Buitenhuis

speaking on

THE HIPPIE CULTURE

with recordings & slides

8:30, Thurs. Feb. 29 — L219 — Admission 75¢, members free

PGSS AND G.N.S.S.

present

LEAP YEAR DANCE

TIME — Friday, March 1st, 9 pm — 1 am

PLACE — Wilson Hall — 3506 University St.

ADMISSION — \$1.00/person

FOOD — DRINK — MUSIC

Women's Union Pen Sketches
CANDIDATES FOR POSITION OF SECRETARY

KATHY BROPHY

We, the committee for the candidate, feel that Kathy Brophy BSc III is qualified to fulfill the responsibilities of secretary of the Women's Union.

While at McGill, Kathy has been an active participant in the Big Sister Program, Red Feather Blitz, and the Mental Health Volunteer Service. She has also represented McGill on the intercollegiate swim team and was manager of the team. Thus we believe that Kathy, with her experience in executive positions held in high school and university, will serve the Women's Union to the best of her ability.

Committee for the Candidate



BARBARA BAILLY

We, the committee for the candidate, feel that Barbara Bailly is well suited for the position of secretary of the Women's Union. A third year Honours English student, Barbara has the qualities of organization and efficiency necessary to fulfill executive duties. She has demonstrated this ability as president of her class throughout high school and as vice-president of her fraternity. She has supported many campus activities, such as the Blood Drive and the Women's Union second-hand book sale. We know Barbara's exceptional capabilities will be an asset to the Union executive. Committee for the Candidate

CANDIDATES FOR MEMBER AT LARGE



COLLEEN FOLEY

We, the committee for the candidate feel that Colleen Foley, due to her enthusiasm, broadmindedness & sincerity is a perfect candidate for member at large in the Women's Union. Colleen is a transfer student from Loyola where she was an active member of the Women's Union executive. She is a keen supporter of campus activities at McGill and actively involved in Winter Carnival, Women's Athletics and majorette training. Her background in high school activities was varied, having participated in the CBC Youth Carnival, her yearbook & newspaper, the debating team & student exchange program. We believe that due to her experience and her conscientious nature she will prove herself an asset to the Women's Union executive.

Committee for the Candidate



SANDI FOOTE

We, the committee for the candidate, believe that Sandi Foote is well-qualified to fill the office of member-at-large on the executive of the Women's Union.

Sandi, a third year Physiotherapy student, came to McGill from U.B.C. in the fall of 1965. She has actively participated in several University-sponsored programs, including the Blood Drive and the McGill Mental Health Volunteers. Conscious of the general lack of students' interest in the organization's activities, she promises to bring greater vitality to the Women's Union. We believe that her sense of responsibility, her experience, and enthusiasm will contribute to the success of Women's Union programs.

Committee for the Candidate

VOTE TODAY

See Students' Society advertisement in this issue for list of polls.

Make ice playoffs

Tribe bombs U de M 11-4

by MURRAY SEGAL

Coach John Taylor's ice Tribe, rising from the depths of sixth place now find themselves pitted against the Loyola Braves in the finals of the JV seven team loop.

The Indians bombed the U de M pocket Carabins 11-4 last night in the Glenfinnan Hockey Palace at Macdonald College. Outstanding performer for the Redshirts was winger Dave Gamble who notched five goals and one assist.

After losing two season tilts to the Carabins because of late game doldrums, the Tribe finally solved the U de M enigma as they went ahead early in the contest and just poured it on for the rest of the game.

Gamble scored one goal in the first period, one in the second, and three in the final frame. Rearguard George Hamilton tallied twice for the Tribe while other Indian scorers were Ken Kabbash, Doug Crossley, Eric Reid, and Brian Galbraith.

Gamble opened the scoring at the 0:50 mark, but Gilles Laurin reciprocated soon after. The Redshirts led 2-1 at the end of the first period.

However in the second frame, the Tribe slowed down and the truth is that U de M enjoyed many opportunities but could not find the range. With the count 5-3 at the beginning of the final twenty minutes, the Tribe poured it on outscoring the Carabins 6-1 to earn the chance of meeting Loyola in the finals.

The finals will be a two of three affair as opposed to the sudden death rule applying to the semi-finals.

The Indians outshot the anemic Carabins 39-24 and generally made the first place U de M squad look like a last place team.

U de M won the regular season championship by two points over Loyola, defeating the West End boys 5-2 in the final game of the season last Thursday evening.

The Tribe has played their best hockey against the Braves, losing one game 4-2, but winning the second. The Loyola squad is a solid group who have three equally efficient lines who emphasize checking and skating.

Coach Taylor's boys represent the hottest team in the league on a record of five straight victories.

Loyola earned a final playoff spot on the merits of a 4-2 victory over Vaudreuil in their sudden death tilt which took place yesterday evening before the Tribe skirmish.

Judiciaries...

(Continued from page 1)

He termed the action "a dangerous precedent," and called the short amount of notice "grossly unfair".

Douglas Tees, counsel for the defendant, rested his argument primarily on the supremacy of Students' Council. He cited Article 8(1) of the Students' Society Constitution, which states, "The governing authority of the Students' Society shall be vested in the Students' Council".

He said the resolutions of open meetings are not binding on Students' Council, and "that the Students' Council is supreme as the duly elected body of the Students' Society".

In this case, he said, Students' Council decided to hold the referendum, and gave today as its date. It did not, he maintained, overrule the open meeting, but, rather, called the referendum on its own authority.

(continued from page 4)

broad-minded pierre, all the hopefuls, infantile communists, left-wing adventurists, petty bourgeois terrorists, separatists, stalinists, draft-dodgers, egg-dodgers, maoists, anarchists, TROTSKY, rock and roll, blues, folk, four stars and seven years ago, louie (louie), ugeg, nerenburg, our faculty advisors, alan, stan, steven, OUTSIDE AGITATORS, seven up, seymour, SHAKESPEARE, read more marx, Ho, ha, he, lee, doughnuts, ho les, murray and norm, ron's rat, roden(t), ace, dowd, hawker, doves, engineers, our teachers, ash tray empliers, our editor, our lives and loves, peggy, peck, pam, photos and the rest, dr. spock, all the lonely people and our friends in far-away places.

WHO ARE THEY, ANYWAY?

I can say no more, bye.

love,
barb and ritaStudents' Society
Executive Applications

Applications are invited from members of the Students' Society for the positions of:

(1) STATION MANAGER —

RADIO MCGILL

(2) CHAIRMAN — DEBATING UNION

Application forms may be picked up at the S.C. office and should be left at the Union Switchboard

Deadline: Tuesday, March 5, 5:00 pm

DEADLINE:
TUESDAY, MARCH 5, 5 PM

Julius Grey

Executive Applications Chairman

The Miracle of
Surgical Transplants... and the Mystery of
Body Rejections.

Heart-transplant operations are making today's headlines. Only a few years ago, the successful transfer of a living organ from one human being to another was still an age-old dream. March Reader's Digest brings you a progress report right from the earliest experiments in transplants of living organs ... tells you all about the phenomenon called "rejection" and why it's still a serious difficulty. Get the facts in March Digest, now on sale. The same issue contains another not-to-be-missed article — "How You Can Feel Fit at Any Age".

STUDENTS' SOCIETY ELECTIONS

today

The following are the candidates for Internal Vice-President, External Vice-President, and President of the Students' Society, who will be elected TODAY. Polling booths are located in all major buildings on campus.

internal vice-president

ROBERT COOPER BSc 4 (R)



PLATFORM:

- The need for an expanded University Centre must be promptly translated into action;
- Students must retain the right to make their own moral decisions concerning employment through a policy of open recruiting;
- The present housing crisis must be met by cooperative student housing within three years;
- Improvement of services within the University Centre is essential;
- Residence problems must be met with the creation of a new council portfolio;
- Dealings with the administration should be through negotiations not confrontation.

BACKGROUND:

Robert has gained experience in student government from the following activities:

- 1—Students' Council Representative from Arts and Science (1968)
- 2—External Affairs Coordinator
- 3—Chairman of Housing Committee (1967)
- 4—UGEQ Representative
- 5—Treasurer of McGill Centennial Committee
- 6—Winter Carnival Committee (1967)
- 7—Delegate to MCSA V and MSQA

Committee for the candidate

PETER FOSTER BA 4

While the external relations of the Students' Society are of undeniable importance to its welfare, it is crucial that internal affairs and student services are not allowed to deteriorate.

PRIORITIES:

THE UNION: The University Centre itself is a hundred thousand dollar business venture — it requires conscientious and responsible management.

AVOID FRIVOLOUS SPENDING: Concrete criteria must be drawn up for the allocation of Council's resources.

IMPROVE CAFETERIA FOOD: Hire a full-time manager to replace inefficient catering service.

LOWER BOOKSTORE PRICES: Negotiate for greater student voice in bookstore management; a store run in the students' interest would mean low prices and early availability of books.

ALLEViate HOUSING CRISIS: Start construction of co-op housing unit by summer of 1969.

EXPERIENCE:

- ARTS REP ON STUDENTS' COUNCIL, 1967
- Founder, Birth Control Committee
- Chairman, Universities Week
- Academic Planning Senate Sub-Committee
- Council Committee on University Government
- Chairman, Pre-University Affairs Committee, 1967

Committee for Hajaly-Hyman-Foster



MENDEL KRAMER BA 2

High kids, how are you? I want to tell you what a groove it is to run for internal Vice-President. Did you know that if you elect me I get a free room in the Union? That's just out of sight.

Ever notice what a drag the Union is? It's drab and ugly. Kind of pisses you right off. It's our Union and here it is all drab and ugly. If I'm going to live here I want to be able to bring my friends home without being embarrassed.

I get to go to Council meetings too. What a bore! Those cats are really uptight. They don't do too much but they sure rap an awful lot; like when you are speeding. A most unfortunate state.

You may be wondering why I'm wearing the psychedelic pin on my hat. Well, I want to give the opposition equal time. Cooper doesn't have any buttons out so just let me say, "I think Bob Cooper is a good shit."

Remember to read the Kramer Manifesto. It's on reserve in the Redpath Library. Remember, a splendid time is guaranteed for all. That's all folks.

Mendel Kramer



external vice-president

IAN HYMAN BSc 4

The key to effective action is intelligent planning. At present, the External Affairs Department operates on a day-to-day basis, reacting haphazardly to situations as they arise. This state of affairs must end; the External Vice-President must plan and implement realistic policy.

PRIORITIES:

1. EDUCATIONAL CHANGE:
—Work towards university adoption of modern course design methods.

—Back serious students seeking curriculum change at faculty and department levels.

—Subsidize course guides.

2. UNIVERSITY GOVERNMENT:

—Negotiate with Administration to open meetings of Senate and its committees to all observers.

3. UGEQ: McGill's presence should be felt in a positive manner. We must work towards:

—Rational educational planning by provincial government.

—Universal accessibility to education.

—Pooling of resources on housing, publications, services.

4. RESIDENCES:

—Work with I.R.C. to improve residence conditions and liberalize rules.

EXPERIENCE:

Science representative on Students' Council, 1967.

Seminars on Course Design.

Chairman, Science Council.

Provincial Executive, Congress of Science and Engineering Students of Quebec.

Initiator, University Conversational French Programme.

Committee for Hajaly-Hyman-Foster



GERALD S. SOLOMON BSc 3

The individual must be free to support only those political groups he wishes to. The Students' Society cannot take political stands because dissenters do not have the option of withdrawing their support. For this reason we must get out of UGEQ (a political body) and form a new non-political union possibly along the lines of the CEGSQ. Politics must become the domain of voluntary political clubs.

* * *

We have no right to dictate to any student who he may work for. Ironically many of those who would impose their moral standards on the campus in this matter are the same ones who claim society must not impose its standards in other matters (i.e. birth control). For this reason Gerald stands for open recruitment.

* * *

Gerald supports the Tripartite commission but feels that further action in the area of "student power" should be deferred pending their report.

Committee for the Candidates



LORNE J. YOUNG BA 3

PENSKECH:

For three years Lorne Young has been serving the Arts and Science Undergraduate Society and the Students' Society. He has been a delegate to two U.G.E.Q. Congresses and a regular member of that organization's Central Committee. After serving as director of the A.S.U.S. Tutorials Programme, he was elected Vice-President of the Society. This practical experience has convinced Lorne of the value of negotiation and compromise. Confrontations bring no practical results. He believes moreover, that educational reform is a non-political matter and should be handled on a faculty basis.

PLATFORM:

- An end to confrontation politics
- Open Campus recruiting
- Subsidization of Course Reform projects on a faculty basis
- Appointment of a Communications Director
- A more positive role for McGill in U.G.E.Q.

Committee for the Candidate



graduate studies

Graduate student candidates' pensketches have appeared in the McGill Martlet. Two representatives from the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research will be elected to Students' Council TODAY.

The candidates are Melvyn Niederhoffer, Bjorn Urhammer, and Kenneth J. Wayne.

president



**Richard
Burkart**

PhD 3

The candidate is in his third year of graduate studies at McGill studying toward a PhD in Economics. He is presently Vice-President (External) of the Students' Society, President of the Post Graduate Students' Society and student representative on the Tri-Partite Commission. He was former President of the Students' Council at the University of Windsor, 1964-65.

Mr. Burkart places top priority on matters concerning education at McGill. Full support must be given to research and experimentation in teaching methods, course design, the general curriculum, "counter-courses", and course guides. Encouragement must be given to the establishment of closer student involvement and representation at the departmental level.

The Committee on Student Housing (chaired by Mr. Burkart) will in the near future present legislation establishing student co-operatives for McGill Students.

Plans should be laid for the earliest possible expansion of the University Centre. The present building is completely inadequate with respect to eating facilities and office space. The University Administration should be approached for additional space during the planning and building stages of this expansion.

The candidate intends to reconsider, in co-operation with the concerned parties the rights and responsibilities of the publication and communication media which are sponsored with Students' Society funds. Unfortunate clashes have been the rule rather than the exception.

The framework within which these information and news media operate must maintain a maximum freedom of expression. However, a forum must be established in which the initiation, discussion, and termination of communication and publication problems can be dealt.

Committee for the Candidate



**Robert
Hajaly**

BEng 5

EXPERIENCE:

- Eng. Rep. on Students' Council, 1967.
- Director, Students' Society Project in Course Design.
- Chairman, Council Committee on University Government.
- Chairman, Eng. Student-Faculty Committee.
- Vice-Pres., McGill Liberal Club, 1966-67.
- McGill University Scholar, 1963-68.

PRIORITIES:

The present executive has introduced no significant legislation on educational reform, student housing, management of the Daily and other important issues. Our representation at UGEQ has deteriorated to the point that External VP Burkart was not even present at two of the most significant meetings this year. Campaign promises on bilingualism in UGEQ and reapportionment of Council have been allowed to lapse without any action being taken.

The alternative is a unified executive presenting programs designed to change the status quo and bring some creativity to campus politics:

EDUCATIONAL CHANGE:

- Support students seeking curriculum change at faculty and departmental levels; such things as group discussion skills, research and secretarial support are necessary for students to deal effectively with course problems. In addition they should be represented on faculty and departmental academic bodies.
- Subsidize student course guides.
- Work towards university adoption of effective course design methods.

MCGILL DAILY:

- Enact regulatory legislation to prevent Council bungling future crises; set down permissible charges, judicial procedures, penalties.

NEW CONSTITUTION:

- Rep-by-pop. on Students' Council for all faculties and an expanded executive to include badly needed education and finance vice-presidents.

UNIVERSITY GOVERNMENT:

- Negotiate to open meetings to observers.
- Effective student representation; access to university information; formulation of Council policy for presentation to Senate.

ALLEVIATE HOUSING SHORTAGE:

- Start construction of student co-op housing unit by summer 1969.

Committee for Hajaly-Hyman-Foster

**Harvey
Mayne**

BA 2



EXPERIENCE:

Executive, NDY; Historical Society; summer '67 exchange to Germany; Honours Philosophy and Political Science; McGill Scene.

There are many social and political problems to be faced and tackled on campus. The McGill student should realize that our present form of enacting rules and facing problems are inadequate. If this were not so petty political issues would not dominate the campus to the detriment of important measures like housing and constitutional reform.

The post of President must be a positive and effective one. In the past, the President has been an impartial and impotent figure on campus. It is time to elect someone who is willing to face the many problems RESPONSIBLY and EFFECTIVELY.

Some of the policies Harvey Mayne supports and will attempt to initiate on campus:

- Immediate action to resolve the housing crisis (including co-ops)
- Liberalization of RVC and improvements in the entire structure of residences
- A FINAL solution to the Union food problem
- Constitutional reform including greater SC representation for Arts and Science students, and Speaker to allow President to initiate policies
- Swift enactment of birth control committee's recommendations
- SC must give incentives for, and, if necessary, initiate badly-needed improvements in the course system, particularly in Faculties like Engineering.

**HAD ENOUGH OF THE ESTABLISHED LEFT AND RIGHT?
VOTE FOR AN INDEPENDENT, LIBERAL ALTERNATIVE.
MAYNE is AWARE and will tackle our problems RESPONSIBLY.**

Committee for the Candidate

**Charles
"The Snake"
Yates**

BA 3



PENSKETCH:

- Complete campus apathy 1967-68

PLATFORM:

- Dissolution of the Students' Council through non-attendance.
- Submission to complete control by the Board of Governors and Senate, accompanied by the amendment of the position of Principal to that of Consul-General in charge of Student Affairs.
- Abolition of all campus organizations having activist tendencies.
- Non-formation of apathetic societies in keeping with the total passivity of the student body.
- Continuation of present athletic apathy.
- Continued lethargic inefficiency of library operation.

Committee for the Candidate

On University Recruiting...

by HENRY STEELE COMMAGER

From Harvard Yard to Madison and Berkeley, students are taking into their own hands and hearts, what university administrators have failed to take into their hands or their minds: the problem of the obligation of the university to private corporations and to government. Students are protesting and, where protests are ineffective, demonstrating against the practice of lending the facilities of the university to recruitment of students by corporations and the military. Sometimes their protest takes the form of forcibly banning recruiters from university facilities, thus exposing them to the wrath of deans and presidents who, unwilling to face the central issue of on-campus recruitment, embrace with enthusiasm the marginal issue of bad manners.

For the explosion of this controversy on campus, the university authorities have only themselves to blame for not formulating any policy which could stand the test of logical scrutiny. Most of them are still sullenly taking refuge in precedent, or involving irrelevant arguments of "freedom of information". Student demonstrations against recruitment are, then, a monument to the absence of foresight and of imagination in university administration, and an excess of imagination in students. All who are concerned with the academic

Henry Steele Commager is Professor of History at Amherst College, whose many writings include *Majority Rule and Minority Rights*, *The Era of the Reform*, and *Nature and Problems of History*. This article is reprinted from *The New Republic* of February 24, 1968.

enterprise will agree that an excess of imagination and of moral passion in the young is to be preferred to the absence of either in their elders.

The basic principle which should govern the relations of the university to recruiters is that which should govern all other activities of the academy. The university is not an employment agency; it is not an adjunct of corporations; it is not an instrument of government. Wherever feasible the university should make available its facilities to legitimate educational enterprise. It is under no obligation whatsoever to make its facilities available to what is not educational.

Guided by this simple principle the university can deal with the awkward

is under any obligation whatever to help Dow Chemical make money.

But, it is asserted, every student has a right to hear what these, and other, organizations have to say. So they have, and a university which sought to deny them this right would be derelict in its duty to its students, and to its own character. The argument is, however, wholly irrelevant to the situation which confronts us. Every student has a right to a great many things that the university is not obliged to provide. He has a right to read all newspapers, all magazines and all books, but the university is not obliged to provide him with all newspapers, magazines and books. It subscribes to *The New York Times* and *Foreign Affairs*, not to *Playboy*. Any student who wants to read that can buy it at the local newsstand, but anyone who argued that the principle of free access to information required the university library to subscribe to all publications would be regarded as bereft of his senses. So any student who wants to hear what Dow Chemical or the CIA wants to say could, without serious inconvenience, visit them off-campus. Dow Chemical, like all corporations, can rent space in local office buildings just as it buys space in local newspapers. The Marines and the CIA can use the local post-office to conduct interviews.

BALANCED against what is merely ingrained habit, or, at the most, inconvenience to a few students of walking a few blocks to an office building or post office, are two considerations of importance. First is the principle (if it rises to the dignity of that), that the college should not throw open its facilities indiscriminately to all comers — business, religious, fraternal, political, military — for if it did, it would find those facilities swamped. It must and in fact it does, even now, discriminate. Even those who defend most ardently the "right" of students to interview Dow

hesitate to tip the balance on the side of moral convictions. Certainly it is unworthy of the academy to drift — or to allow itself to be maneuvered into — a position where out of stubbornness, out of thoughtlessness, out of inertia, it flouts the legitimate moral sentiments of its students and its faculty. To argue that some students may entertain moral convictions about seeing recruiters on-campus rather than off-campus is frivolous, for it ascribes moral significance to what is a mere matter of personal convenience.

Even those who are prepared to concede in principle the right of students to oppose corporate or military recruiting on campus, deplore the manner in which they have asserted, or demonstrated,

"More and more those in authority, in the academy as in government, are avoiding moral issues... They stand numbed by timidity, taking refuge from the obligation to confront great moral questions by escaping into the easy activity of applying petty disciplinary measures to students who embarrass them."

ed, that right. Almost everywhere student demonstrations have been accompanied by bad manners, and in some places by force and violence. Now it is very wrong for the young to display discourtesy in these situations, and quite intolerable for them to resort to violence, even the somewhat negative violence of refusing access to a recruiting-office room. Clearly the young should model themselves here on those who are older and wiser, model themselves on the spokesmen and representatives of our nation, on whom rests ultimate responsibility for the maintenance of an orderly society. What a pity they do not follow the example, in their protests, of distinguished statesmen like Senators Russell Long and John Stennis, who think all dissenters should be jailed; of presidential candidate George Wallace who thinks they should be shot; or of Rep. Mendel Rivers of South Carolina who thinks the proper response to flag burning is burning the Bill of Rights. What a pity they do not model themselves on official defenders of law and order like the police of Watts, Cleveland, Detroit, Newark and Philadelphia, or the US Marshals in Washington. How distressing they do not conform to the models of that military who try so hard to enlist them, "General-Bomb-Them-Back-to-the-Stone Age" LeMay, for example, or the Air Force officers who habitually fly over Communist China in violation of international law. But we should remember that students are young, and that they have not had the training and experience which has made their rulers such models of moderation and of reason.

Indeed they do not. Yet more and more those in authority, in the academy as in government, are avoiding moral issues and taking refuge in questions of conduct or of manners. Instead of falling back on the familiar principle, "I disagree with what you say but shall defend to the death your right to say it," they substitute, "I may agree with you, but I disapprove profoundly of the manner in which you say it."

What is this silence that has fallen on the leadership of the university —

presidents, deans, boards of trustees and regents alike? The leaders of the religious community have spoken out boldly enough — heads of great theological seminaries, distinguished theologians and clergymen. The scientific community has taken a strong stand on the moral issues of the war and of nuclear weapons, Nobel Prize winners, heads of great scientific organizations. The rank and file of the academic community, teachers, scholars, students, have seen that here are moral issues that must be faced, and have wrestled with them. But from Cambridge to Berkeley, from Madison to Baton Rouge, not a single president of a great university has taken a public stand on what is the greatest moral issue of our time.

Are they silent because they are bemused by the notion that with their position they take a vow of moral continence? They did not so reason in the past — not at the time of the two World Wars, not during discussions of the League of Nations, or of communism. Is it because they fear that if they spoke out like independent men, they would somehow "commit" their institutions? If so they are mistaken in fact and logic. No president can commit his university, which consists of faculty and students, to a moral position, or a political. No one supposes that a senator who speaks out somehow commits the United States Senate, or that a judge who speaks his mind commits the Supreme Court, or even that a business executive can commit his corporation and his stockholders to political positions. Why should presidents or deans indulge in the vanity of supposing that they can somehow commit great universities? Yet here they stand numbed by timidity, taking refuge from the obligation to confront great moral questions by escaping into the easy activity of applying petty disciplinary measures to students who embarrass them.

If presidents, deans, trustees and regents are unwilling or unable to protect and exalt the dignity of the university, they should be grateful to students who have remembered it and exalted it. If universities have refused to face the major moral issues of our day they should rejoice that they have, somehow, helped to produce students who are neither paralyzed nor timid, who are sensitive to moral issues and prepared to respond to them, however convulsively.

On this matter of recruitment, as on the larger issues of the relation of the academy to the moral problems which glare upon us from every quarter of the horizon, this generation of university presidents, so respectable, so cautious, may yet hear from their own students that immortal taunt of Henri IV: "Go hang yourself, brave Crillon; we fought at Arques and you were not there."

problem of recruiting with reasonable consistency and fairness. There are and will be exceptions and borderline cases, but the organizations whose recruiting has precipitated the crisis throughout the academic world are not borderline cases. By no stretch of the imagination can it be alleged that Dow Chemical Company, the Marines or the CIA are educational enterprises, or that they contribute to the educational enterprise. Dow Chemical is a business corporation; its business is to make money, and it recruits students at universities because that is one of the ways it hopes to make money. No university

Chemical are not prepared to provide facilities for the Rotary, the Lions and the Kiwanis, the Elks and the Masons and the Woodmen of the World, the Baptists, the Mormons and the Jehovah's Witnesses, and so on *ad infinitum*. The only sound basis for discrimination is educational. The second principle is more fundamental. When the university is called upon to weigh the conflicting claims of those who plead habit or convenience, against those who plead deep moral convictions — moral convictions which are shared by a large segment of our society and are therefore neither eccentric nor perverse — it should not